



## Natick Finance Committee

Pursuant to Chapter 40, Section 3 of the Town of Natick By-Laws, I attest that the attached copy is the approved copy of the minutes for the following meeting:

**Town of Natick Finance Committee  
Meeting Date: October 2, 2014**

The minutes were approved through the following action:

Motion:	Approval
Made by:	Jerry Pierce
Seconded by:	Cathy Coughlin
Vote:	8.0.0
Date:	1-13-2015

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Evans

Clerk

Natick Finance Committee

**Finance Committee Meeting Minutes – October 2, 2014**

**Page 2**

**NATICK FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

**October 2, 2014**

**Natick Town Hall**

**School Committee Meeting Room, Third Floor**

This meeting has been properly posted as required by law.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

James Everett, Chairman  
Jimmy Brown, Vice Chairman  
Cathleen Collins – left at 10:20 p.m.  
Catherine M. Coughlin

Bruce Evans, Clerk  
Jonathan Freedman  
Patrick Hayes  
Mark Kelleher

Jerry Pierce  
Edward Shooshanian

**MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Mari Barrera  
John Ciccariello  
Michael Ferrari  
Karen Adelman Foster  
Christopher Resmini

**ATTACHMENTS:**

- A. Agenda for this evening's meeting
- B. Memorandum to Town Meeting, Board of Selectmen, Finance Committee and Town Administrator, from Steve Levinsky, Chair, Personnel Board, dated September 14, 2014, Re: FY 2015 Classification and Pay Plan Proposed Changes
- C. Town of Natick Classification and Pay Plan Fiscal Year 2015 Effective July 1, 2014 – Full-Time Positions
- D. Memorandum to Natick Finance Committee from Mike Rourke dated October 2, 2014, Subject: Article 31 Classification and Pay Plan
- E. Natick Finance Committee Standard Set of Warrant Article Questions – Article No. 13, Date: September 30, 2014, Title: 22 Pleasant Street Rezoning Study Committee, Sponsor: 22 Pleasant Street Rezoning Study Committee
- F. Motion for Article 13 of Fall 2014 Town Meeting
- G. Questions Referred to or Involving Answers from Town Counsel
- H. Plan of Land in Natick, Mass. Owned by Boston Bedding Supply Co. (Survey Plans for 22 Pleasant St Filed at Registry of Deeds)
- I. Middlesex, SS: Superior Court in Equity No. 28477. Planning Board of the Town of Natick by Carleton R. Leavitt, et al, vs. Board of Appeals of the Town of Natick by Paul R. Collanton, et al, and James M. Knott (Court Decree on Variance for 22

## Finance Committee Meeting Minutes – October 2, 2014

### Page 4

- Pleasant St.)
- J. Town of Natick FY 2015-2019 Capital Plan Summary, FY 2015 Capital Budget, dated September 10, 2014
  - K. Article 32 – Capital Equipment – 2014 Fall Annual Town Meeting, Sept. 2, 2014
  - L. Article 33 – Capital Improvements – 2014 Fall Annual Town Meeting
  - M. Natick Finance Committee Standard Set of Warrant Article Questions – Article No. 11, Date: 9/2/2014, Title: Amend By-Laws Article 52: Solicitors – to Include Civil Fingerprinting Requirements
  - N. Memorandum to Board of Selectmen from Martha White, Town Administrator, dated 9/4/2014, Subject: Article 1 – Prohibition of Firearms in Public Buildings
  - O. Stabilization Funds
  - P. Roadway Improvements at Various Locations – 2015
  - Q. Email from Tony Lista to Catherine Coughlin et al, dated October 2, 2014, Subject: Capital discussion

Meeting was called to order by Mr. Everett at 7:05 p.m.

The Chairman reviewed the evening's agenda and general guidelines for conduct of the meeting and made the following announcements:

- The Finance Committee agendas are now posted on the town website and accessible via the links on the Finance Committee page.
- The Moderator is seeking candidates to fill two vacancies on the Finance Committee. Applications from anyone interested in applying must be submitted to the Moderator by noon on October 3, 2014.
- The Finance Committee will meet on October 16, 2014 to hear Article 38. In addition, if sponsors request, any of four Articles on which the Finance Committee does not presently have recommendations may also be scheduled for reconsideration, as well as Articles referred due to the need for additional legal information, if this further legal input is received. Any member wishing to add something to this agenda is asked to let the Chairman know as soon as possible in order to allow for timely posting of all agenda items in advance of the meeting.
- Working drafts of narrative for the Finance Committee Recommendations book will begin to be circulated to members for feedback tomorrow. Members are asked to reply as quickly as possible.

### **PUBLIC CONCERNS/COMMENTS:**

Mr. Brown, speaking as a Town Meeting member from Precinct 8 and representative of Natick Forever, announced that that organization would be sponsoring a debate between State Representative David Linsky and Doug Grindle on October 15, 2014 at 8 p.m. in the High School Auditorium. The event will be moderated by Rick Holmes, political analyst and reporter for the Metrowest Daily News.

### **OLD BUSINESS:**

**Finance Committee Meeting Minutes – October 2, 2014**

Subcommittee Updates:

Mr. Brown asked that all Subcommittee Minutes be forwarded to him as soon as possible.

**Public Hearing FY 2014 Fall Annual Town Meeting Warrant Articles:**

A motion was made, at 7:11 p.m., to open the public hearing on the FY 2014 Fall Annual Town Meeting Warrant Articles.

Moved/Motioned by:	Ms. Collins
Seconded by:	Ms. Coughlin
Motions or Debates:	None
Vote:	10 – 0 – 0 (unanimous)

Article 14 – Committee Article:

Mr. Everett reminded the members that the Committee did not need to vote to hear specific reports only to support presentation of any reports which might come forward.

A motion was made at 7:14 p.m., to move favorable action on the subject matter of Article 14.

Moved/Motioned by:	Ms. Coughlin
Seconded by:	Mr. Brown
Motions or Debates:	None
Vote:	9 – 1 – 0

Article 31 – Personnel Board Classification & Pay Plan:

The Chair welcomed Ms. Martha White, Town Administrator, and Mr. Richard Tranfaglia, Director of Human Resources, to the podium and reminded the members that this Article had been postponed when previously before the Committee to accommodate a request by a citizen for some additional information. Based on communication received from the citizen (Attachment D) he said it appeared that some information had been received by the individual, and he had stated his understanding the Committee would need to proceed on this matter and he would consider presenting any further comments at Town Meeting.

Ms. Coughlin noted that she had also requested additional information on this matter on September 2<sup>nd</sup>, but had not yet received anything in response to that request and questioned why the requested data had not been forthcoming.

Ms. White explained that, in addition to being short-staffed, the Finance Department personnel who needed to research and prepare the requested analyses had been busy with other work. She promised to provide the requested information by the following week.

A motion was made at 7:19 p.m., to move favorable action on the subject matter of Article 31.

Moved/Motioned by:	Mr. Evans
Seconded by:	Mr. Freedman
Motions or Debates:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Mr. Evans said the proposed changes and rationale had been reviewed when this was previously discussed and he was comfortable that the citizen who had requested the postponement would have the opportunity to raise any further questions at Town Meeting. He pointed out that the revisions being proposed had no financial impact so he was in favor of proceeding.</li><li>2. Mr. Freedman agreed with the previous speaker and clarified that</li></ol>

	<p>the proposed changes, which he said seemed reasonable and rational to him, applied only to the full-time Pay Plan.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. Ms. Coughlin said she would vote against this motion saying she took issues raised by citizens very seriously as she believed that was her role as a member of this Committee. She pointed out that the citizen had indicated the requested information had only been provided the previous day which did not allow time for him to review and follow up in time for this evening's meeting. She said this delay, together with the lack of response to her own request, suggested a pattern and she felt she was being thwarted.</li><li>4. Ms. Collins said she would also vote against this motion in view of the lack of response to these information requests suggesting this might be the only way to convey the members' wishes.</li><li>5. Mr. Brown also expressed frustration regarding lack of response to information requests and pointed out the Finance Committee's ability to make recommendations to Town Meeting based on data was impeded when the necessary data wasn't made available.</li><li>6. Mr. Freedman pointed out that the majority of the changes being proposed were clerical in nature and reflected changes already made under the Administration's authority; and questioned the value of voting against this motion which would result in a Pay Plan which was out of synch with reality and the current organizational structure. He said the issue of responsiveness was separate from the Pay Plan itself and said he didn't feel the Plan should be held hostage which the other issue was being resolved.</li><li>7. Mr. Everett agreed with the previous speaker.</li></ol>
Vote:	7 – 3 – 0

**Article 13 – 22 Pleasant Street Rezoning Study Committee Update:**

The Chair welcomed Mr. Paul Griesmer, Town Meeting member from Precinct 7 and Chair of the 22 Pleasant Street Rezoning Study Committee, to the podium to speak to Article 13.

The members were referred to several items of supporting information (Attachments E - I) included in the meeting packet.

Mr. Griesmer gave an overview of the background and rationale for the motion being proposed under this Article (Attachment F) and the findings and recommendations to date, as detailed in the documents.

Member questions and discussion included the following:

- Although town residents have been allowed access to the Honeywell ball field area for many years as a courtesy of the current property owner, the town presently has no legal right of access.
- The Study Committee voted not to recommend re-zoning the property to RG for several reasons including potential loss of aquifer protection and other zoning exemptions which would be voided under a different zoning classification.
- The Study Committee voted to endorse the idea of pursuing a possible Assisted Living overlay for the site.

**Finance Committee Meeting Minutes – October 2, 2014**

- The Study Committee is seeking to extend the term of their appointment to 2015 Spring Annual Town Meeting in order to complete their work.
- As a result of the Study Committee’s organization one “round table” discussion has taken place between the property owners, abutters and potential buyers.

A motion was made at 7:55 p.m. to move favorable action on the motion as written in Attachment F.

Moved/Motioned by:	Ms. Coughlin
Seconded by:	Ms. Collins
Motions or Debates:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Ms. Coughlin said she knew the members of the Study Committee had spent a great deal of time on this and expressed her appreciation for the thoroughness and comprehensiveness of their work to date.</li><li>2. Ms. Collins said she recalled very few volunteer committees who had been this thorough and also expressed her thanks. She said she wished some of the background information being presented could have been brought forward earlier to inform the discussion at 2014 Spring Town Meeting.</li><li>3. Noting that he was on the Study Committee, Mr. Pierce thanked Mr. Griesmer for the extensive preparatory work he had personally done before the committee met and said he thought one of the most valuable contributions of the committee was the initiation of the dialog between the neighborhood and the proposed developers, recalling comments at the Spring Town Meeting related to lack of awareness of potential development among the neighbors. He also explained that one reason the Study Committee was requesting extension of their term was in order to be present when the potential overlay was written.</li><li>4. Mr. Brown also thanked the Study Committee members, noting the quality of the work accomplished, and also thanked town citizens who had attended and participated in this process.</li><li>5. Pointing out how divisive this matter had been at 2014 Spring Town Meeting, Mr. Hayes said he was very appreciative of the motion which led to formation of the Study Committee and got this process going. Both as a Town Meeting member and a resident of this area, he said he was impressed with the amount of investigation and detail which had been produced and, regardless of the outcome, he said no one would be able to say there was insufficient information regarding the background and history of the zoning, and the options available. He supported the requested extension agreeing that it was justified and appropriate.</li></ol>

As the public had not been invited to comment, the Chair suspended the debate to allow public input.

Public concerns and comments:

Mr. Julian Munnich, a citizen from Precinct 5, said he was also a member of this Study Committee and reiterated the extraordinary amount of work and materials produced. Agreeing that there was additional work yet to be completed, he said he believed the Committee was well past the half-way point and urged support for the extension of the term

as requested.

Motions or Debates:	6. Recalling Mr. Griesmer’s earlier participation as a Finance Committee member, Mr. Everett said he knew him to be highly detail-oriented and was confident the final product of this Committee would be as thorough and comprehensive as possible.
Vote:	10 – 0 – 0 (unanimous)

**Article 32 – Capital Equipment:**

The Chair welcomed Mr. William Chenard, Deputy Town Administrator, Operations, to the podium to present the capital equipment expenditures being proposed under Article 32.

The members were referred to supporting materials (Attachments J & K) included in the meeting packet.

Mr. Chenard reviewed recent history of capital spending and gave a brief overview of the items being proposed for purchase under this Article.

Other town personnel providing detail and answers to members’ questions included Ms. Diane Packer, Town Clerk and Mr. Josh Ostroff, member of the Board of Selectmen and its Parking Advisory Committee.

Mr. Evans reported that the Capital Subcommittee had met the previous evening and had reviewed all of the items being proposed and said subcommittee members would offer additional detail on their discussion, as needed, regarding specific items on the list.

Member questions and discussion included the following:

- Questions were raised regarding how monies are handled when major debt projects, such as the municipal buildings, are retired.
- A number of questions were raised regarding the proposed upgrade of the town’s parking meters including the following:
  - ♦ Potential grant funding to offset costs associated with this purchase;
  - ♦ A new meter system, which will include several payment kiosks, will not only increase revenue due to elimination of subsequent parkers enjoying “free” use of remaining paid meter time left from previous users; but will also greatly increase and improve the town’s ability to manage parking throughout the downtown area as a result of the “smart technology’s” more sophisticated equipment features, such as multiple programming options for different types of use, and acceptance of credit cards for payment.
  - ♦ No decisions have been made regarding future parking rates or specific location(s) of potential new equipment, as these will be determined by the Selectmen based on recommendations of the Parking Advisory Committee.
- New voting booths would replace the existing booths which were purchased in 1995.
- The crosswalk signal systems are being proposed in response to a recommendation of the town’s Safety Committee.
- The proposed telephone system will replace the current technology which reached the end of its life in 2009 and will eventually unify all telephone technology throughout the town and school system. Concerns were expressed that sufficient provisions need to be in place to assure continued functionality of critical phone systems in the event of town-

wide power outages and similar unforeseen emergencies.

Public concerns and comments:

Mr. Ben Greenberg, owner of a retail building in the downtown area, questioned plans for potential parking policy and procedural changes and their potential impact on the businesses in that area.

Mr. Ostroff reiterated that a multitude of issues remain to be discussed and addressed by the Parking Advisory Committee and assured all that these discussions would take place at public meetings and would include a public hearing process to allow for input from all interested parties. He stressed the need to manage the town’s existing parking inventory effectively and to create additional parking capacity and said details regarding access, use categories and fees would all be addressed as part of those decisions; and pointed out that replacement of the current technology, which is well beyond its useful life, made sense as a first step to gaining greater management control and increasing options and flexibility with respect to the downtown area parking.

A motion was made at 9:01 p.m., to recommend favorable action on the amount of \$1,717,800 for purchase of capital equipment as detailed on Attachment K to be funded from the following sources: \$497,100 from the Capital Stabilization Fund; \$1,020,200 from Tax Levy Borrowing; and \$200,500 from Water & Sewer Retained Earnings.

Moved/Motioned by:	Mr. Evans
Seconded by:	Mr. Brown
Motions or Debates:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mr. Evans expressed thanks to all the town employees who had stayed late with the Subcommittee the previous evening, and again this evening, to answer questions and assist the members in understanding the need and rationale for the items being requested. He said he was comfortable, as Subcommittee Chair, recommending support of the full list to the full Committee.</li> <li>2. Mr. Brown said he wholeheartedly supported the favorable action motion and also thanked all the town staff as well as the Subcommittee chair for his management of the previous evening’s meeting. He said he had previously been opposed to the proposed parking meter replacement but after listening to the additional information presented this evening, of which he was greatly appreciative, his concerns had been allayed and he understood that the priority was the need to better manage the downtown parking situation, rather than increasing parking revenue. He said he hoped this goal would be achieved and that the concerns expressed by the speaker from the public would be taken into consideration. With regard to the purchase of new voting booths, he pointed out that it was important to increase voter turnout and hoped this could contribute to that. He also said he appreciated the questions raised by another member with greater expertise on the subject of the proposed phone system upgrade.</li> <li>3. Ms. Coughlin raised a point of order noting that she and other members of the Capital Subcommittee had received email correspondence from a previous Finance Committee member who had attended the previous evening’s meeting and requested</li> </ol>

	<p>this be read into the record.</p> <p>[A statement (Attachment Q) from a citizen and former Finance Committee member was read into the record.]</p> <p>Ms. Coughlin said she disagreed with some of the points raised in the email which suggested that the proposed replacement of several town vehicles was not justified based on vehicle age and/or mileage, saying she had learned with her experience on the Finance Committee to ask about these details and, in addition, there were also often other factors which needed to be considered , such as the particular work and frequency of use of these vehicles which resulted in accelerated deterioration when compared with typical vehicle use by the average private individual. She said everyone knew she did not like to spend town money unnecessarily and assure all that the subcommittee had spent 3.5 intense hours the previous evening asking many detailed questions which convinced her that all of the proposed vehicle replacements were justified. She did point out that the voting booths were only used for a few days each year, but said the total cost proposed for this replacement was only \$40,000 and she wouldn't quibble about that item. Saying she sometimes didn't understand the rationale for the proposed funding sources, especially borrowing vs. use of stabilization funds, she said she appreciated the information provided on this and would look for more of that type of detail in the future.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>4. Mr. Evans echoed the previous speaker's comments regarding vehicle usage detail discussed at the previous evening's subcommittee meeting, adding several further details to illustrate this point.</li><li>5. Mr. Kelleher said he understood there were differences between municipal and everyday vehicle use but felt the citizen email had nevertheless raised some good points such as the value of having additional statistics and benchmarks relating to the town's utilization of these vehicles.</li><li>6. Mr. Hayes said he had no problem with the funding being requested to replace the phone system but had not heard sufficient information to reassure him that adequate backup provisions were planned to assure continued service in critical areas during extended widespread power outages. As a take away, he asked that the IT Director and the Information Services Advisory Board look at this and detail a plan for such contingencies.</li><li>7. Mr. Brown agreed with a previous speaker that the citizen's email contained some useful suggestions including the value of having information presented with regard to personal use of town vehicles as part of the detail supporting proposed replacements. He also supported the idea of providing information related to vehicles anticipated to be replaced in the following year and questioned whether having a target for capital spending encouraged replacements which could be postponed in order to</li></ol>
--	---

	<p>meet the target.</p> <p>8. Ms. Collins pointed out that information on subsequent year purchase plans was available in the 5-year capital plan and she personally reviewed that closely each year. She acknowledged that sometimes circumstances intervened to require adjustments, but said the equipment maintenance division of the DPW was one of the town departments she held in highest regard because they consistently got many extra years of useful life from the town's vehicles.</p> <p>9. Mr. Shooshanian said he was glad the voting booths were on the list because it had been explained that they were deteriorating and it was prudent to replace these now when funds were available instead of have them come forward as an emergency at some future time when funding might be tighter. He also pointed out that the DPW had enjoyed continuing success with auctioning off the town's replaced vehicles.</p> <p>10. Mr. Everett said he was also glad to see replacement of the voting booths on the list; however, he expressed concerns that the parking meter upgrades not contribute to ever increasing fees. He pointed out that one reason to stay within a range of capital spending from year to year was to avoid having excess cash used to fund operations with the result that the operating expense budget grew at the expense of capital. He said he thought this was a good plan which had been well vetted and he appreciated the time spent by the subcommittee and the town's employees who participated in these two evenings' meetings to facilitate the Finance Committee's understanding of these recommendations.</p>
Vote:	10 – 0 – 0 (unanimous)

The Chair called a recess at 9:30 p.m.

The Chair called the members back to order at 9:39 p.m.

**Article 33 – Capital Improvement:**

Mr. Chenard returned to the podium to present the Capital Improvement expenditures totaling \$2,422,050 being proposed under Article 32.

Mr. Chenard was joined by Mr. Mark Coviello, Town Engineer who provided detail and answers to members' questions.

With respect to the \$969,000 sewer main relining project, Mr. Chenard highlighted a change in the terms of the MWRA inflow and infiltration local assistance grant program explaining that the Authority had increased the proportion of project costs covered directly from 55% to 75% and extended the period over which the remaining 25% must be repaid (at 0% interest) from 5 years to 10 years.

Mr. Evans reported that the Capital Subcommittee had conducted a thorough review of each of the items on the proposed list and had voted unanimously to recommend approval.

Member questions and discussion included the following:

- Although the MWRA provides 75% of the funds for the sewer relining project and loans the rest to the town at zero interest, the entire project cost must be presented as water &

**Finance Committee Meeting Minutes – October 2, 2014**

sewer borrowing for Town Meeting authorization. The amount of the 75% grant from the authority will come before Town Meeting in the spring to be rescinded.

- The \$68,800 energy efficiency upgrade project was not on the 5-year capital plan but was added in order to take advantage of grant funding available from the Department of Energy Resources.
- Funding for roads and sidewalks is proposed to supplement Chapter 90 funding which is insufficient to keep up with the town’s needs. A list of roads planned for work was distributed (Attachment P).
- The Administration anticipates having a completed plan for Main Street improvements in approximately eighteen months.

Public concerns and comments:

Mr. Julian Munnich noted the need for a correction to the text relating to the Main Street Improvements project in Attachment L pointing out that “Main Street” in the fourth line of that narrative should be replaced with “South Main Street” to accurately define the scope of this work.

A motion was made at 10:15 p.m., to move favorable action on the amount of \$2,422,050 for capital improvements as detailed on Attachment L, to be funded from the following sources: \$153,050 from the Capital Stabilization Fund; \$850,000 from Tax Levy Borrowing; \$1,269,000 from Water & Sewer Borrowing; and \$150,000 from Water & Sewer Retained Earnings.

Moved/Motioned by:	Mr. Evans
Seconded by:	Ms. Coughlin
Motions or Debates:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Mr. Evans said the Subcommittee had conducted a thorough review of these items the previous evening and he appreciated the efforts and patience of all the staff who assisted in facilitating the members’ understanding of these needs. He said he was confident the items had been well vetted and were high priority needs for the town.</li><li>2. Ms. Coughlin expressed her sincere thanks to everyone for their time and energy over the past two evenings in providing supporting information on these items. She suggested that, if edits were going to be made to the supporting documentation for Article 33, that edits might also be needed related to Article 32.</li><li>3. Mr. Freedman thanked the subcommittee for completing so much of the due diligence on these proposals and said he thought this plan was well thought out, well-constructed and strategic in nature. He said changes had been made to earlier years’ advance plans appropriately and for the right reasons and he was in full support of the recommendations.</li><li>4. Ms. Collins also thanked the subcommittee for doing the “heavy lifting” which, she said, allowed her to zero in on the few things about which she had questions and agreed with the prior speaker that flexibility in adjusting these plans was necessary and appropriate. She said she was glad to verify that only planning and design work for the Main Street improvements was being funded at this time as she looked forward to this project coming</li></ol>

	back for further discussion before major decisions were made. She said she was happy to support this plan as presented.
Vote:	10 – 0 – 0 (unanimous)

**Article 11 – Amend By-Laws: Article 52: Solicitors – to Include Civil Fingerprinting Requirements:**

Ms. White returned to the podium to speak to Article 52.

She explained that, although Police Chief Hicks was the sponsor of this Article she had urged him to keep a longstanding prior commitment for this evening and volunteered to speak to the Article on his behalf. She referred the members to the responses to the Standard Questions for this Article (Attachment M) and reviewed the information presented in that document relating to its objectives and rationale.

After a brief period of questions, it was decided to postpone consideration of this Article until Chief Hicks could be present to provide further information.

A motion was made at 10:46 p.m., to postpone Article 11 to October 16, 2014.

Moved/Motioned by:	Mr. Pierce
Seconded by:	Ms. Coughlin
Motions or Debates:	None
Vote:	9 – 0 – 0 (unanimous)

As two members of the Charter & By-Laws Subcommittee had recently resigned from the Finance Committee, Mr. Freedman agreed to join the remaining subcommittee members in gathering additional information regarding this proposed by-law. Members were asked to transmit any questions to either Mr. Hayes or Mr. Freedman as soon as possible and the members planned to try to set up a meeting with Chief Hicks and Mr. Flynn, Town Counsel to discuss this further.

**Article 1 – Amend by-Laws: Prohibit Guns in Municipal Buildings:**

The members were referred to a memorandum from Ms. White in the packet (Attachment N) advising that a recommendation of no action on Article 1 was being requested. She briefly reviewed the rationale for this decision as detailed in that document.

A motion was made, at 10:51 p.m., to move no action on Article 1.

Moved/Motioned by:	Ms. Coughlin
Seconded by:	Mr. Evans
Motions or Debates:	None
Vote:	9 – 0 – 0 (unanimous)

**Public concerns and comments:**

Mr. Munnich stated two concerns regarding the Town Administrator’s memorandum. He suggested that the suggestion of building future consensus regarding this issue was inappropriate for town officials to lobby for something without any mandate to do so; and he also felt the characterization of potential future debate on this issue as “virulent” suggested an attack on individuals with opposing views to those of the Article proponents.

Ms. White responded that she had thoughtfully chosen the word “virulent” based on telephone calls received by both herself and the Police Chief since this proposal became public, and that adjective accurately described views expressed on both sides of this issue.

**Finance Committee Meeting Minutes – October 2, 2014**

**Page 14**

A motion was made, at 10:55 p.m., to close the public hearing on the FY 2014 Fall Annual Town Meeting Warrant Articles.

Moved/Motioned by:	Mr. Hayes
Seconded by:	Mr. Pierce
Motions or Debates:	None
Vote:	9 – 0 – 0 (unanimous)

**ADJOURN (10:55 P.M.):**

A motion was made to Adjourn at 10:55 p.m.

Moved/Motioned by:	Mr. Pierce
Seconded by:	Mr. Hayes
Motions or Debates:	None
Vote:	9 – 0 – 0 (unanimous)